## Case 3:16-cv-02645-SI Document 50-12 Filed 06/13/17 Page 2 of 2

## **Brett Schoel**

From:

**Brett Schoel** 

Sent:

Monday, April 10, 2017 7:14 PM

To:

'fladseth@aol.com'

Cc:

Lynetta Goodwin (Igoodwin@Ijdfa.com)

Subject:

Gutierrez

## Doug,

It was my understanding we vacated your client's deposition because you decided to bring additional parties into the case and you did not want to have your client deposed twice. I understood your concern and agreed even though it took several months to get it scheduled. Today, I received several deposition notices for my clients' depositions. Like you, I do not want my clients being hassled to testify twice so I will send out formal objections. In the meantime, if you truly are bringing in additional parties, I suggest we postpone further discovery (other than producing the peer review documents when I get them together) until all parties are in the case. We would probably go back to the Court and seek a continuance once you have amended your complaint.

For now, can we agree that we will hold off on discovery until everyone is in?

Brett Schoel La Follette, Johnson, DeHaas, Fesler & Ames 655 University Avenue, Suite 119

Sacramento, CA 95825 Phone: (916) 563-3100 Fax: (916) 565-3704

Email: bschoel@lidfa.com